



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/838,147	04/20/2001	Zsolt Kun-Szabo	367.40027X00	9518	
20457 7	590 03/08/2006		EXAM	INER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			CONTEE, JOY	CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2686		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/838,147	KUN-SZABO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joy K. Contee	2686			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed tom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ıly 2005.				
•		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>35</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-34 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ived in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau	` "				
3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not recei	vea.			
Attachman	tic)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/838,147 Page 2

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Youngs fails to disclose the subject matter in the independent claims 1,21 and 25 and further the dependent claims. Examiner disagrees. Looking at Figures 2 and 3 and the corresponding text and col. 3), Examiner believes that Youngs teaches a first and second mobile connecting to an external communication network, selecting media programs for downloading and downloading the media program from the first to the second mobile terminal.
- 2. Examiner notes that in the maintained rejection below, Examiner has corrected the typographical error that appeared the previous office action wherein claims 21 and 25 were not included in the "heading" of the rejection under 35 USC 102e.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2686

4. Claims 1-3,5,6,9,10,12,16,20,21,24,25 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Youngs et al. (Youngs), 6,600,918.

Regarding claims 1,21 and 25, Youngs discloses a method of transferring resource related information (i.e., reads on media programs) from a first mobile terminal (reads on media providers including a source handset, such as 32f, see Fig. 2) to a second mobile terminal (reads on 24 wireless handset) of a wireless communication network (col. 3,lines 3-10), comprising the steps of:

connecting the first mobile terminal to an external communication network for accessing a resource; selecting by a user of the first mobile terminal, information relating to the resource that said user wishes to send to the second mobile terminal; inherently negotiating a communication connection between the first and second mobile terminals; and transferring the resource related information to the second mobile terminal over the communication connection (col. 3,lines 3-58).

Regarding claim 2, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the second terminal is also inherently a client of a server connected to the external network and the information facilitates access to an external network resource by the second terminal (reads on second mobile communicating directly with media provider) (col. 3,lines 59-65).

Regarding claim 3, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information comprises a URL (col. 3,lines 15-19).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 5, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information has been previously downloaded from the external network (col. 3,lines 12-18).

Regarding claim 6, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 5, wherein the information comprises a web page (col. 3,lines 18-19).

Regarding claim 9, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the connection is made via the wireless communication network (col. 3,lines 44-58).

Regarding claim 10, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the connection is made directly between the terminals (col. 3,lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 12, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 10, wherein the connection comprises a low power radio frequency link (col. 3,lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 13, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the negotiation of the connection comprises sending a request from the first terminal to the second terminal for inherent approval to establish a connection between the terminals and on receiving approval from the second terminal establishing the connection (reads on directly communicating if second terminal is within same cell as first) (col. 3,lines 5-10).

Regarding claim 16, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the external network resource is a inherently a server (e.g., media program providers) (col.3,lines 3-19).

Regarding claims 20 and 29, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the external network is (and comprises) the Internet (col.3,lines 3-19).

Regarding claim 24, Youngs discloses a terminal as claimed in any one of claims 21, wherein the terminal is a cellular radio telephone (col.3,lines 3-19).

Regarding claim 28, Youngs discloses a terminal as claimed in any one of claims 25, wherein the terminal is a cellular radio telephone (col.3,lines 3-19).

Regarding claim 30, Youngs discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the information related to the resource comprises content of the resource (col. 3, lines 3-29).

Regarding claim 31, Youngs discloses the method according to claim 2, wherein the information related to the resource inherently comprises a link to the resource (col.3lines 3-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Youngs, in view of Kotola et al. ("Kotola"), WO 98/11744.

Regarding claim 4, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 2, but fails to explicitly disclose wherein the information comprises browser settings for use by the second terminal.

In a similar field of endeavor, Kotola discloses wherein the information comprises browser settings (i.e., reads on conversion and control of URLs, e.g., formatting of web page) for use by the second terminal (mobile station) (see page 9,lines 1-17).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs to include browser setting information for the purpose of allowing the second terminal to receive user preference information from an external source.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Youngs, in view of Bridgman et al. ("Bridgman"), U.S. Patent No. 6,523,062.

Regarding claim 11, Youngs disclose the method as claimed in claim 10, but fails to disclose, wherein the connection comprises an infra red link.

In a similar field of endeavor, Bridgman discloses wherein the connection comprises an infra red link (col. 6, lines 22-25).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs to include direct connection between the terminals for the purpose of using a networking environment.

6. Claims 7,8,14-15,22,23,26,27 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Youngs, in view of Coan et al. ("Coan"), U.S. Patent No. 6,584,321.

Regarding claims 7 and 32, respectively, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the negotiation of the connection includes inherently specifyin (and choosing) the bearer to be used in transporting the information to the second terminal.

Art Unit: 2686

In a similar field of endeavor, Coan discloses wherein the negotiation of the connection includes inherently specifying the bearer to be used in transporting the information to the second terminal (see Coan, col. 4, lines 24-51).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs further to include a bearer indicator in the service message for the purpose of specifying which bearer service the data can be transmitted on.

Regarding claim 8, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the bearer is specified in accordance with a pre-determined user preference.

In a similar field of endeavor, Coan discloses wherein the negotiation of the connection includes inherently specifying the bearer to be used in transporting the information to the second terminal see Coan, col. 4, lines 24-51).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs further to include a bearer indicator in the service message for the purpose of specifying which bearer service the data can be transmitted on.

Regarding claim 14, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 2, but fails to disclose wherein the terminals are using a Wireless Application Protocol and the request is sent to the second terminal using a connectionless push command.

In a similar field of endeavor, Coan discloses wherein the terminals are using a Wireless Application Protocol and the request is sent to the second terminal using a connectionless push command (i.e., reads on non-confirmed push mechanism) (col. 4,lines 24-28).

Art Unit: 2686

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs to include WAP's push mechanism for the purpose of transferring information (i.e., service message) to the wireless device from the server.

Regarding claim 15, Youngs as modified by Coan, discloses a method as claimed in claim 14, wherein the connection is established using a bearer (i.e., included in the service message) indicated in the connectionless push command (see Coan, col. 4, lines 24-51).

In a similar field of endeavor, Coan further discloses wherein the terminals are using a Wireless Application Protocol and the request is sent to the second terminal using a connectionless push command (i.e., reads on non-confirmed push mechanism) (col. 4, lines 24-28).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs further to include a bearer indicator in the service message for the purpose of specifying which bearer service the data can be transmitted on.

Regarding claims 22 and 26, Youngs discloses a terminal as claimed in claims 21 and 25, respectively, but fails to explicitly disclose wherein the controller operates in accordance with a Wireless Application Protocol.

Coan discloses wherein the controller (i.e., of the wireless device) operates in accordance with a Wireless Application Protocol (col. 4, lines 13-30).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the data service described in Youngs to include for use in WAP

environment for the purpose of utilizing a standard for Internet content to be obtained by mobile radio telephones.

Regarding claims 23 and 27, Youngs as modified by Coan discloses the terminal as claimed in claims 22 and 26, wherein the controller is arranged to receive the resource related information via a push command (see Coan, col. 4, lines 13-30).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs to include WAP's push mechanism for the purpose of transferring information (i.e., service message) to the wireless device from the server.

7. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Youngs, in view of Applicant's own admission as prior art as recorded in the Specification in the "Background of the Invention".

Regarding claim 17, Youngs discloses a method as claimed in claim 2, but fails to explicitly disclose wherein both terminals are using a Wireless Application Protocol and the resource information comprises a WAP.

However, Applicant admits that the standard known as Wireless Application Protocol (WAP), which utilizes wireless mark-up language (WML), which implements a card and deck metaphor, wherein decks of cards are transferred from origin serves as needed, is well known in the art (see page 1, lines 11-21, "Background of the Invention" of the Disclosure).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to Youngs to include for use in WAP environment for the purpose of utilizing a standard for Internet content to be obtained by mobile radio telephones.

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Youngs as modified by Applicant's admission as prior art, discloses a method as claimed in claim 17, wherein the transfer of the WAP deck to the second terminal includes the step of substituting (i.e., reads on transferring decks from origin servers as needed) the WAP deck with a pre-existing WAP deck on the second terminal (see Applicant's admission as prior art, page 1, lines 27-28 of the Disclosure).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify Youngs to include for use in WAP environment for the purpose of utilizing a standard for Internet content to be obtained by mobile radio telephones.

8. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Youngs in view of Tayama et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,131,143.

Regarding claim 34, Youngs discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the second mobile terminal is not capable of handling the external resource contents.

In similar field of endeavor, Tayama discloses wherein the second mobile terminal is not capable of handling the external resource contents (reads on terminal A unable to communication with base station to download) (see Tayama, col. 4,lines 52-64).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Youngs to include mobile to mobile transfer of data for the purpose of allowing a back up data storage and transfer unit in case the server is not available for direct communication with the first mobile (see Tayama, col. 2,lines 34-44).

Application/Control Number: 09/838,147 Page 11

Art Unit: 2686

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 35 is allowed.

10. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. ny inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K. Contee whose telephone number is 571.272.7906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571.272.7905. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2686

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JC